### The long arm of childhood: Early life influences on healthy life expectancy

Mark D. Hayward Professor of Sociology and Population Research Center University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas

Wittgenstein Centre for Demography and Global Human Capital Summer School on "The Demography of Health and Education" June 6, 2016

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the NICHD (RO1 HD053696 & 5 R24 HD042849) and the collaboration of a number of colleagues at the University of Texas at Austin and Harvard University.

Childhood conditions (e.g. socioeconomic status) are associated with both physical functioning<sup>1,2</sup> and mortality risk<sup>3</sup>

Associations *partly* explained by adult conditions (e.g., SES, behaviors)

Prior studies examined functioning & mortality largely as distinct outcomes

It is unclear how childhood conditions JOINTLY influence functioning & mortality that define the period of life with – or without significant health problems (Active Life Expectancy)?

<sup>1</sup>Alvarado et al 2007; Guralnik et al 2006; Haas 2008; Luo & Waite 2005; Turrell et al 2007;

<sup>2</sup> Bowen & Gonzalez 2010; Freedman et al 2008

<sup>3</sup> Hayward and Gorman 2004; Barker 1997; Montez and Hayward 2011; Finch & Crimmins 2004; Davey Smith et al 1998







#### Aims of this Study

To what extent is disability free life expectancy (DFLE) shaped by two critical childhood conditions (health and socioeconomic context)?

To what extent can educational attainment overcome the consequences of a disadvantaged childhood on DFLE?

How do childhood conditions *combine* with education to influence DFLE?



#### Educational Attainment

- Key indicator of overall adult lifetime environment <sup>1</sup>
- Numerous & changing mechanisms<sup>2</sup>
- Temporally and causally prior to other dimensions of SES<sup>1</sup>
- More stable than income or occupation
- Policy intervention

Determinants of Adult Age-related Losses in Capacity (e.g., occupation, marriage, disease, genes)

Capacity Over the Life Course (15 = hypothetical level below which limitations in adulthood occur)





#### The Importance of Education as a Marker of Adult SES

Valued information about, and support for, healthy lifestyles, and health care

Educational Attainment



Disability free life Expectancy

Valued information about, and support for, healthy lifestyles, and health care

Access to good jobs and associated rewards in an information-based society

Disability free life Expectancy

Educational Attainment



Valued information about, and support for, healthy lifestyles, and health care

Access to good jobs and associated rewards in an information-based society

Educational

Attainment

Access to valuable networks/ relationships, and perhaps increasingly so with technology advancements and ease of travel Disability free life Expectancy

Valued information about, and support for, healthy lifestyles, and health care

Access to good jobs and associated rewards in an information-based society

Educational

Attainment

Access to valuable networks/ relationships, and perhaps increasingly so with technology advancements and ease of travel

Sophisticated cognitive skills, greater sense of control and human agency Disability free life Expectancy

#### Data

1998–2008 biannual waves of the Health & Retirement Study

- U.S.-born, non-Hispanic white & black men & women
- 50-100 years of age

### **Measuring Childhood & Adult Conditions**

#### Index of Cumulative Childhood SES Adversities

•	Father had <8 years education	35.2%
•	Mother had <8 years education	25.6%
•	Family was poor	28.2%
•	Moved for financial reasons	17.1%
•	Received help from relatives	13.0%
•	Never lived with father	7.3%
•	Father had blue collar occupation	77.6%

#### **Childhood Health**

• 1=fair/poor; 0=good, very good, excellent

#### **Educational attainment**

• Less than HS, HS, Some College, BA+

### **Person-Year Sample Characteristics (N=148,232)**

Characteristics	Mean (or %)
Age (years)	66.0
Male (%)	43.9
Black (%)	9.7
Cumulative Childhood SES Adversity (%)	
0	14.6
1	30.0
2	19.3
3	18.3
4	11.0
5+	6.9
Fair/poor Childhood Health (%)	5.7
Educational Attainment (%)	
Less than High School	17.7
High School or GED	36.9
Some College	22.7
BA or higher	22.7

### **Disability Free Life Expectancy**

### STEP 1: Define State Space (16 possible transitions)



ADL: difficulty with 1+ of 6 ADLs (e.g., bathing, eating, dressing)
IADL: no difficulty with ADLs, but difficult with 1+ of 5 IADLs (e.g. shopping)
FL: no difficulty with ADL or IADS, but difficulty with 1+ of 11 functions
Healthy: no difficulty

#### **Disability Free Life Expectancy**

STEP 2: For each of the 16 transitions, estimate transition rates from multivariate hazard models

 $ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} Age + \beta_{ij2} ELH$ 

STEP 2: For each of the 16 transitions, estimate transition rates from multivariate hazard models

$$\ln \mu_{ii}(x) = \beta_{ii0} + \beta_{ii1} \operatorname{Age} + \beta_{ii2} \operatorname{ELH}$$

$$\ln \mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} Age + \beta_{ij2} ELSES$$

STEP 2: For each of the 16 transitions, estimate transition rates from multivariate hazard models

$$ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} Age + \beta_{ij2} ELH$$

$$\ln \mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} Age + \beta_{ij2} ELSES$$

$$ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} Age + \beta_{ij2} ELSES + \beta_{ij3}ELH$$

STEP 2: For each of the 16 transitions, estimate transition rates from multivariate hazard models

$$\begin{split} &\ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} \text{ Age } + \beta_{ij2} \text{ ELH} \\ &\ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} \text{ Age } + \beta_{ij2} \text{ ELSES} \\ &\ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} \text{ Age } + \beta_{ij2} \text{ ELSES } + \beta_{ij3} \text{ELH} \\ &\ln\mu_{ij}(x) = \beta_{ij0} + \beta_{ij1} \text{ Age } + \beta_{ij2} \text{ ELSES } + \beta_{ij3} \text{ELH} + \beta_{ij4} \text{ED} \end{split}$$

### **Key Findings from These Models**

 Adults who experienced adverse childhood conditions were much more likely to make unfavorable transitions

Childhood SES & health independently predicted transitions

Childhood SES showed threshold & dose-response patterns



### **Key Findings from the Multivariate Models**

- Education only *partly* mediated the effects of childhood SES.
- Education did not mediate any of the effect of childhood health
- Childhood SES, health, and education combined in an additive cumulative way to influence the ALE transitions

#### **Disability Free Life Expectancy**

STEP 3: Use the matrix of transition rates to estimate total and active life expectancy using multi-state life tables

Analyses stratified by gender











### Conclusions

Adverse childhood contexts and education...

- shorter TLE & DFLE & greater % of life impaired
- stronger impact on DFLE than TLE
  - White men aged 50 w/ every advantage
    - TLE = 30.1 years, DFLE = 13.7 years
  - White men aged 50 w/ every disadvantage
    - TLE = 26.7 years, DFLE = 7.1
  - 30.1-26.7 = 3.4 TLE
  - 13.7-7.1 = DFLE
- Scenario C for disadvantaged persons



#### Conclusions

Adverse childhood contexts...

- shorter TLE & DFLE & greater % of life impaired
- stronger impact on DFLE than TLE

Education increased TLE & DFLE most for adults from advantaged childhoods (cumulative advantage?)

The capacity for education to overcome early adversity was more pronounced among men

#### **Questions for Future Research**

How is the balance of the influences of childhood conditions and adult conditions changing across birth cohorts?

Are changes in the relative effects of childhood and adult conditions shared broadly across important population subgroups, e.g., minority status groups?

Is the American context unique or is this a broader phenomenon, perhaps concentrated in high income countries?

How might these patterns differ in countries that experienced closely spaced demographic, epidemiological, and technological revolutions?

### In the American Context: Findings support "Education as Health Policy"<sup>1</sup>

- Educated often "leveled or bettered" the playing field among adults raised in disparate contexts – a "turning point"
- Weight of the evidence here and many other studies suggests that enhanced educational attainment may represent an important step in improving population health
- The benefits of investing in education today may compound among future generations
- A multi-pronged approach to improve population health and reduce disparities should include education policy



Montez, Jennifer Karas, and Mark D. Hayward. 2014. "Cumulative Childhood Adversity, Education, and Active Life Expectancy among U.S. Adults." *Demography* 51:413-435.

### Thank you

